

Internet Report - Safe staffing July 2017

The information displayed on NHS Choices shows the average percentage of shifts filled per ward by registered nursing and care staff for day and night shifts during July. The percentage shown is derived by calculating the number of staff actually on the shift compared with the number of staff that were planned to be on shift. The Trust has agreed that staffing is safe on a ward when they have at 90% of shifts filled because wards can cope with one less member of staff on a shift providing this does not happen too often.

In assessing whether the wards were staffed safely the following information was considered and whether there was any correlation to reduced staffing levels:

Mental Health and Learning Disability Inpatient Wards

- Actual versus planned staffing levels
- Numbers and types of incidents on each ward every 24 hours
- Number of times prone restraint used on each ward every 24 hours
- Number of patients who abscond or fail to return from leave at the agreed time
- Number of patients found on floor on each ward every 24 hours
- Number of patient on patient assaults on each ward every 24 hours

Community Health Inpatient Rehabilitation Wards

- Actual versus planned staffing levels
- Pressure ulcers developed whilst in the care of our staff declared
- Number of patients found on floor on each ward every 24 hours
- Numbers and types of incidents on each ward every 24 hours

All wards have other professionals working with patients during the day including doctors and allied health professionals such as occupational therapists and physiotherapists. All of these staff along with the nurses provides care to patients on our wards.

The staffing numbers for each ward have been agreed with the Trust Board, ward staff and managers by using nationally recognised workforce tools that take in to account the number of beds on a ward and the amount of care that the patients on the ward need. Some wards have been given extra money to recruit more staff.

Table 2 below displays the total monthly planned staff hours versus actual staff hours (percentage fill). This information is split by day shift/night shift and by registered nurses/unregistered care staff. Parameters against which staffing levels are RAG rated within BHFT are below 90% and above 110% (There are no national parameters). Supporting information alongside each inpatient area provides reasons and actions against their RAG rating

Table 2: Total monthly planned staff hours versus actual staff hours (percentage fill)
Increase/decrease in percentage is showed by the preceding arrow for each percentage rate.

	% DAY FILL RATE		% NIGHT FILL RATE		Bed Occupancy %	Mitigation or Actions	Impact on quality of care or safety
	RN	HCA	RN	HCA			
Bluebell	↓ 102.87	↓ 112.37	↓ 100	↓ 117.2	80.53%	Increase in staff due to level 2 observations and supervising patients in the garden	Although there was no direct correlation between staffing and any specific incidents that occurred during the month, the high number of temporary staff required throughout the month does cause concern because of the challenge this provides in delivering consistent and good quality care.
Rose	↑ 115.91	↑ 108.17	↓ 98.39	↓ 130.61	101.17%	Increased number of levels 2 and 2:1 observations	Although there was no direct correlation between staffing and any specific incidents that occurred during the month, the high number of temporary staff required throughout the month does cause concern because of the challenge this provides in delivering consistent and good quality care.
Daisy	↑ 104.84	↓ 111.29	100	↓ 132.26	97.96%	High bed occupancy with complex patients, increased numbers of level 2 observations	Although there was no direct correlation between staffing and any specific incidents that occurred during the month, the high number of temporary staff required throughout the month does cause concern because of the challenge this provides in delivering consistent and good quality care.
Snowdrop	↑ 105.65	↑ 110.75	↑ 101.61	↓ 117.89	88.71%	Complex and challenging patients throughout the month resulting in increased level 2 observations	Although there was no direct correlation between staffing and any specific incidents that occurred during the month, the high number of temporary staff required throughout the month does cause concern because of the challenge this provides in delivering consistent and good quality care.
Rowan	98.39	↓ 104.84	↓ 100	↑ 128.18	52.10%	Increase in HCAs due to complex patients requiring level 2 and 2:1 observations	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Orchid	↓ 100.81	99.46	100	↓ 106.53	73.23%	Mostly within expected levels	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues

Sorrel	↑ 98.39	↓ 168.82	100	↓ 254.84	79.95%	Increase in HCAs due to complex and challenging patients requiring level 2 observations.	Although there was no direct correlation between staffing and any specific incidents that occurred during the month, the high number of temporary staff required throughout the month does cause concern because of the challenge this provides in delivering consistent and good quality care.
Campion	↓ 103.6	↓ 114.44	↑ 109.68	↓ 196.89	89.25%	Increase in staffing for complex patient, particularly at night and covering a potential admission in to the Place of Safety.	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Jubilee	99.89	96.24	100	98.87	42.82%	Within expected levels (number of empty beds throughout the month)	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Henry Tudor	↓ 106.45	↓ 106.44	↓ 100	↑ 130.65	85.75%	Increase in staff due to one patient requiring 1:1 throughout the month	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Oakwood	↑ 93.1	↑ 101.63	101.61	↓ 101.61	76.57%	Mostly within expected levels. (short term RN sickness and 1 RN vacancy)	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Highclere	↓ 91.61	↓ 102.51	↑ 100	↓ 104.3	88.43%	Mostly within expected levels	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Donnington	↓ 87.10	97.85	93.55	98.39	85.05%	Reduced RNs due to sickness and vacancies.	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Wokingham	↓ 86.74	↓ 106.68	↑ 98.39	↑ 95.70	82.60%	Reduced RN due to vacancies and sickness, although not at full capacity so within numbers across the 2 Wards.	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues
Willow House	↑ 109.1	↑ 101.62	↓ 100	↑ 106.45	82.08%	Mostly within expected levels	No identified impact on quality and safety of care provided as a result of staffing issues

Helen Mackenzie
Director of Nursing and Governance